

Anderson localization on random regular graphs: Toy-model of many body-localization

> Alexander D. Mirlin Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

K. S. Tikhonov, ADM, and M.A. Skvortsov, Phys. Rev. B 94, 220203 (2016)
K. S. Tikhonov and ADM, Phys. Rev B 94, 184203 (2016)
M. Sonner, K. S. Tikhonov, and ADM, Phys. Rev. B 96, 214204 (2017)

- K. S. Tikhonov and ADM, Phys. Rev. B 97, 214205 (2018)
- K. S. Tikhonov and ADM, Phys. Rev. B 99, 024202 (2019)
- K. S. Tikhonov and ADM, Phys. Rev. B 99, 214202 (2019)
- K. Tikhonov (Moscow, Karlsruhe \longrightarrow Paris)
- M. Skvortsov (Moscow)
- M. Sonner (Karlsruhe \longrightarrow Geneva)



Anderson localization



Philip W. Anderson

1958 "Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices"

sufficiently strong disorder \longrightarrow quantum localization

- \longrightarrow eigenstates exponentially localized, no diffusion
- \rightarrow Anderson insulator

Nobel Prize 1977

Anderson localization

Anderson '58

Quantum particle moving on a lattice:

connectivity K, nearest-neighbor hopping V, disorder W

$$H = \sum_i \epsilon_i c_i^\dagger c_i + \sum_{\langle ij
angle} V(c_i^\dagger c_j + c_j^\dagger c_i)$$

 ϵ_i – random energies, distribution width W

And erson proved localization for $V < V_c \sim \frac{W}{K \ln K}$

W/K – typical spacing of random energies ϵ_j of sites directly connected to a given site i

$$V \ll W/K \longrightarrow$$
 hybridization suppressed
 \longrightarrow Anderson localization

Anderson Localization: Extended and localized wave functions

Schrödinger equation in a random potential

$$[-\hbar^2rac{\Delta}{2m}+U({
m r})]\psi=E\psi$$







Anderson Insulators & Metals



Scaling theory of localization: Abrahams, Anderson, Licciardello, Ramakrishnan '79

Modern approach: RG for field theory (σ -model)

quasi-1D, 2D: all states are localized

d > 2: Anderson metal-insulator transition



review: Evers, ADM, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1355 (2008)

Many-body localization

Assume that all single-particle states are localized

- External bath with continuous spectrum (e.g., phonons)
- \longrightarrow inelastic processes \longrightarrow dephasing of quantum interference
- \longrightarrow cutoff for localization \longrightarrow thermalization, transport
- Problem of "many-body localization": What happens at finite *T* in the absence of external bath? Can the system serve as its own thermal bath?
- Early work: Fleishman, Anderson '80:
- Inelastic processes inhibited due to discreteness of spectrum; Localization in many-body space
- Many-body localization transition at intermediate T(or at intermediate disorder at fixed T) for short-range interaction Gornyi, ADM, Polyakov '05; Basko, Aleiner, Altshuler '06, ...
- MBL implies breakdown of ergodicity

Ergodicity and MBL in excited states of many-body systems

Spatially extended systems with short-range interaction Gornyi, Mirlin, Polyakov, PRL 95, 206603 (2005) Basko, Aleiner, Altshuler, Ann Phys 321, 1126 (2006) Oganesyan, Huse, PRB 75, 155111 (2007)

Quantum dots

Altshuler, Gefen, Kamenev, Levitov, PRL 78, 2803 (1997) Mirlin, Fyodorov, PRB 56, 13393 (1997) Jacquod, Shepelyansky, PRL 79, 1837 (1997)

Spatially extended systems with power-law interaction Burin, arXiv:cond-mat/0611387; PRB 91, 094202 (2015) Yao, Laumann, Gopalakrishnan, Knap, Müller, Demler, Lukin, PRL 113, 243002 (2014) Gutman, Protopopov, Burin, Gornyi, Santos, Mirlin, PRB 93, 245427 (2016)

and many further papers

→ Revival of interest to localization on tree-like graphs
 Properties of MBL transition, loc. and deloc. phases, critical regime - ?
 One of important questions: Is the delocalized phase ergodic ?

Anderson localization on random regular graphs (RRG)

Random regular graph – random graph with constant connectivity Locally tree-like (as Bethe lattice) but without boundary

$$egin{aligned} ext{Typical size of loops} &\sim \ln N \ \mathcal{H} &= \sum_{\langle i,j
angle} \left(c_i^+ c_j + c_j^+ c_i
ight) + \sum_{i=1} arepsilon_i c_i^+ c_i \ &arepsilon_i \longrightarrow ext{disorder } W \end{aligned}$$

Relation to the MBL problem:

Hilbert space size $N \sim m^L$ where L is "linear size"

Sites \leftrightarrow many-body basis states, links \leftrightarrow interaction matrix elements

ADM, Fyodorov '91 Supersymmetry theory of Anderson transition in sparse random matrix model (\sim RRG with fluctuating connectivity)

Delocalized phase $(W < W_c)$: "ergodicity":

- Wigner-Dyson level statistics
- Wave function statistics: Inverse participation ratio (IPR) $P_2 = \langle \sum_i |\psi(i)^4| \rangle$

 $P_2\simeq N_c(W)/N\;,\quad \ln N_c\propto (W_c-W)^{-1/2}\;,\quad N\gg N_c$

RRG vs finite Bethe lattice vs infinite Bethe lattice



RRG: finite N, one can study properties of individuals eigenstates, e.g. IPR $P_2 = \langle \sum_i |\psi_n(i)|^4 \rangle \longrightarrow \text{this talk}$

finite BL: finite N, one can study properties of individuals eigenstates, but they differ crucially from RRG ! Multifractality that depends on W and on position on the tree Tikhonov and ADM, Phys Rev B 94, 184203 (2016); Sonner, Tikhonov, and ADM, Phys. Rev. B 96, 214204 (2017) not considered in this talk

infinite BL: $N = \infty$, one can study statistics of Green functions (e.g. LDOS) at finite frequency (imaginary or real)

Anderson localization on RRG: Previous numerics

Biroli, Ribeiro-Teixeira, Tarzia, arXiv:1211.7334

apparent fractality of IPR

 \rightarrow non-ergodictiy of delocalized phase ?!



De Luca, Altshuler, Kravtsov, Scardicchio, Phys Rev Lett '14



"We conclude that the nonergodicity and multifractality persist in the entire region of delocalized states $0 < W < W_c$ "

Approaches to Anderson model on RRG

- Direct numerics: Exact diagonalization
- Field theory, Large $N \longrightarrow \text{saddle point}$

 \longrightarrow self-consistency equation

- Analytical solution
- Numerical solution via pool method (population dynamics)

Anderson localization and ergodicity on RRG

K.S. Tikhonov, ADM, M.A. Skvortsov, PRB 94, 220203(R) (2016)

maximal size N = 65536; for W = 11: N = 262144

Level statistics: mean adjacent gap ratio r



Crossing point W_* drifts towards stronger disorder: $W_* \simeq 14 \ (N = 512) \longrightarrow W_* \simeq 16 \ (N = 65536)$ Equivalently: for given W non-monotonic dependence r(N)Explanation: critical point on tree-like structures (or at $d \to \infty$) has quasi-localized character (Poisson statistics, IPR $\propto N^0$)

Eigenfunction statistics



Correlation length



- \times level statistics
- \times eigenfunction statistics

 $\xi(W) \propto (W_c - W)^{u_d}$ correlation length

 $N_c(W) \sim m^{\xi(W)}$ correlation volume

data consistent with $\nu_d = 1/2$

as expected from the critical behavior of IPR (analytics) $P_2 \simeq N_c(W)/N$, $\ln N_c \propto (W_c - W)^{-1/2}$, $N \gg N_c$

RRG: Field-theoretical approach

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{O}
angle &= \int \prod_k [d\Phi_k] e^{-\mathcal{L}(\Phi)} U_{\mathcal{O}}(\Phi) & \Phi_{i,s} = (S^{(1)}_{i,s}, S^{(2)}_{i,s}, \chi_{i,s}, \chi^*_{i,s}) - ext{supervector} \ & ext{Doubling } \Phi_i = (\Phi_{i,1}, \ \Phi_{i,2}) ext{ for retarded (R) and advanced (A) Green functions} \ &e^{-\mathcal{L}(\Phi)} &= \int \prod_i d\epsilon_i \gamma(\epsilon_i) e^{rac{i}{2}\Phi^{\dagger}_i \hat{\Lambda}(E-\epsilon_i)\Phi_i + rac{i\omega}{4}\Phi^{\dagger}_i \Phi_i} \prod_{\langle i,j \rangle} e^{-i\Phi^{\dagger}_i \Phi_j} & \Lambda = ext{diag}(1,-1)_{RA} \end{aligned}$$

RRG, connectivity p = m + 1, distributions of energies $\gamma(\epsilon)$ and hoppings h(t)

$$egin{aligned} \langle Z
angle &= \int \prod_i d\Phi_i rac{dx_i}{2\pi} e^{ipx_i} \exp \left\{ \sum_i \left[rac{i}{2} \Phi_i^\dagger \hat{\Lambda} (E-J_i \hat{K}) \Phi_i + rac{i}{2} \left(rac{\omega}{2} + i\eta
ight) \Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_i
ight. \ &+ \ln ilde{\gamma} (rac{1}{2} \Phi_i^\dagger \hat{\Lambda} \Phi_i)
ight] + rac{p}{2N} \sum_{i
eq j} \left[e^{-i(x_i + x_j)} ilde{h} (\Phi_i^\dagger \hat{\Lambda} \Phi_j) - 1
ight]
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Functional generalization of Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation} \\ \longrightarrow \quad \text{integral over functions } g(\Phi) \text{:} \qquad \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int Dg \ U_{\mathcal{O}}(g) e^{-N\mathcal{L}(g)} \\ \mathcal{L}(g) = \frac{m+1}{2} \int d\Psi d\Psi' g(\Psi) C(\Psi, \Psi') g(\Psi') - \ln \int d\Psi \ F_g^{(m+1)}(\Psi) \\ F_g^{(s)}(\Psi) = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2} E \Psi^{\dagger} \hat{\Lambda} \Psi + \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{2} + i\eta\right) \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi\right\} \tilde{\gamma}(\frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\dagger} \hat{\Lambda} \Psi) g^s(\Psi) \end{array}$

Field theory for RRG model: Saddle-point treatment

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int Dg \ U_{\mathcal{O}}(g) e^{-N\mathcal{L}(g)}$$
 Large $N \longrightarrow$ saddle-point
treatment
IPR $P_2 = \frac{1}{\pi \nu} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \eta \langle G_R(j,j) G_A(j,j) \rangle \quad G_{R,A}(j,j) = \langle j | (E - \mathcal{H} \pm i\eta)^{-1} | j \rangle$
 $\langle G_R(j,j) G_A(j,j) \rangle = \int Dg \ U(g) e^{-N\mathcal{L}(g)}$
 $U(g) = \int [d\Psi] \frac{1}{16} \left(\Psi_1^{\dagger} \hat{K} \Psi_1 \right) \left(\Psi_2^{\dagger} \hat{K} \Psi_2 \right) F_g^{(m+1)}(\Psi)$
 $g_0(\Psi) = \int d\Phi \ \tilde{h}(\Phi^{\dagger} \hat{\Lambda} \Psi) F_{g_0}^{(m)}(\Phi)$ saddle-point equation
identical to the self-consistency equation for infinite Bethe lattice (BL) !
 ADM , Fyodorov 1991
Symmetry $\longrightarrow g_0(\Psi) = g_0(x, y); \quad x = \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi, \quad y = \Psi^{\dagger} \hat{\Lambda} \Psi$

Laplace (x) - Fourier (y) transf.: $g_0(x, y) \leftrightarrow \text{distribution of Im } G$ and Re Gself-consistency equation in the form of Abou-Chacra, Thouless, Anderson 1973

Field theory for RRG model: Inverse Participation Ratio

• $W \ge W_c$ localized phase and critical point:

 $ext{single saddle-point} \hspace{0.2cm} g_{0}(\Phi) = g_{0}(x,y), \hspace{0.2cm} ext{characteristic} \hspace{0.2cm} x \sim \eta^{-1}$

$$egin{array}{ccc} \longrightarrow & U(g_0) = rac{C}{\eta}\,, \quad C \sim 1 & \longrightarrow & P_2 = rac{C}{\pi
u} \sim 1 \end{array}$$

• $W < W_c$ delocalized phase: spontaneous symmetry breaking manifold of saddle points

$$egin{aligned} g_0(\Psi) & \longrightarrow g_{0T}(\Psi) = g_0(\hat{T}\Psi) = g_0(\Psi^\dagger \hat{\overline{T}} \hat{T}\Psi, \ \Psi^\dagger \hat{\Lambda}\Psi) & \hat{\overline{T}} \hat{\Lambda} \hat{T} = \hat{\Lambda} \ & \langle G_R(j,j) G_A(j,j)
angle = \int Dg e^{-N \mathcal{L}(g)} U(g) = \int d\mu(\hat{T}) \ U(g_{0T}) \ e^{-rac{\pi}{2} N \eta
u ext{Str}} ig[\hat{\overline{T}} \hat{T} ig] \ & P_2 = rac{1}{\pi
u} \lim_{\eta o 0} \eta \ & \langle G_R(j,j) G_A(j,j)
angle = rac{12}{N} rac{g_{0,xx}^{(m+1)}}{\pi^2
u^2} = rac{3}{N} rac{\langle
u^2
angle_{ ext{BL}}}{
u^2} & N \gg N_{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

Near the transition: $\left< \nu^2 \right>_{
m BL} / \nu^2 = N_\xi \gg 1 - {
m correlation \ volume} \quad P_2 = 3 {N_\xi \over N}$

Exact relations between RRG and infinite BL problems !

Generalized to correlation functions at arbitrary distance rand of different eigenstates (energy separation ω) $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Wave function correlations:} & \text{Single wave function} \\ \text{RRG:} & \alpha(r) = \langle |\psi_k^2(i)\psi_k^2(j)| \rangle & r - \text{distance between } i \text{ and } j \\ \text{large } N \longrightarrow \text{expressed in terms of infinite Bethe lattice correlation functions:} \\ K_1(r) = \langle G_R(i,i)G_A(j,j) \rangle_{\text{BL}} = \langle \frac{1}{16}(\Psi_{i,1}^{\dagger}\hat{K}\Psi_{i,1})(\Psi_{j,2}^{\dagger}\hat{K}\Psi_{j,2}) \rangle_{\text{BL}} \\ K_2(r) = \langle G_R(i,j)G_A(j,i) \rangle_{\text{BL}} = \langle \frac{1}{16}(\Psi_{j,1}^{\dagger}\hat{K}\Psi_{i,1})(\Psi_{i,2}^{\dagger}\hat{K}\Psi_{j,2}) \rangle_{\text{BL}} \end{array}$



Wave function correlations: Different wave functions **RRG:** $\beta(r,\omega) = \langle |\psi_k^2(i)\psi_l^2(j)| \rangle$ $\omega = \epsilon_k - \epsilon_l$ r = distance(i,j) $eta(r,\omega) = rac{1}{2\pi^2 N^2} \operatorname{Re} K_1(r,\omega) \qquad ext{consider first} \quad r=0$ ---- 32768 65536 131072 $0.16 \cdot$ **Critical point** $egin{aligned} K_1(r=0,\omega=2i\eta)\simeq rac{c_1^{(K)}}{\eta}+rac{c_2^{(K)}}{\eta\ln^\mu 1/\eta} & \hat{\mathbb{S}}_{0,08} \ ightarrow & 0.08 \ ightarrow & eta_{N^2\omega\ln^{\mu+1}1/\omega} & \hat{\mathbb{S}}_{N^2} & \hat{\mathbb{S}}_{N^2} \ ightarrow & 0.04 \ ightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{N^2} \ ightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{N^2}$ 0.02 $\mu = 1/2 \quad { m from \ ED}$ 5 7 6 8 9 10 L_{ω} and numerical solution of SC equation 10^{5} - 10 **—** 12 **—** 13 **Delocalized** phase 10^{4} 10^{1} $\omega_{\xi} \sim N_{\xi}^{-1} \; (ext{with log correction})$ 10^{-6} 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-7} ω

Wave function correlations: $r - \omega$ plane

$$egin{aligned} eta(r,\omega) &= \langle |\psi_k^2(i)\psi_l^2(j)|
angle & \omega = \epsilon_k - \epsilon_l \qquad r = ext{distance}(i,j) \ eta(r,\omega) &= rac{1}{2\pi^2N^2} \operatorname{Re} K_1(r,\omega) \qquad ext{consider } W < W_c \ & \left\{ rac{m^{\xi-r}}{N^2r^{3/2}}, \qquad r < \xi < L_\omega \qquad ext{`metallic'' regime} \ rac{m^{-r}}{N^2\omega L_\omega^{3/2}r^{3/2}}, \qquad r < L_\omega < \xi \qquad ext{critical regime} \end{aligned}
ight.$$

characteristic length scales:

$$\xi \sim (W_c - W)^{-1/2}$$
 $L_\omega = \log_m(1/\omega)$



Further dynamical observables: return probability, spectral statistics

Critical behavior

Correlation volume $N_{\xi} \longrightarrow$ correlation length ξ Critical behavior: $\xi \sim (W_c - W)^{-\nu_{del}}$ critical index $\nu_{del} = ?$ Self-consistency equation $\longrightarrow m\lambda_{eta} = 1$ λ_{eta} – largest eigenvalue of certain integral operator $\lambda_{\beta}(W) \simeq \frac{1}{2} - c_1 \left(W - W_c \right) + c_2 \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2$, has minimum at $\beta = 1/2$ Localized phase, $W > W_c$: β real Critical point, $W = W_c$: $m\lambda_{1/2} = 1$ Abou-Chacra et al, 1973 Delocalized phase, $W < W_c$: spontaneous symmetry breaking eta becomes complex: $eta=rac{1}{2}\pm i\sigma\,,\qquad \sigma\simeq\sqrt{rac{c_1}{c_2}(W_c-W)^{1/2}}$ ${
m Correlation \ length} \quad \ln N_{\xi} \simeq rac{\pi}{\pi} \ \longrightarrow \ {
m critical \ index} \quad
u_{
m del} = 1/2$ $m=2 \longrightarrow c_1 \simeq 1.59, \ \ c_2 \simeq 0.0154 \ \longrightarrow \ \ln N_{\xi} \simeq 31.9 \, (W_c-W)^{-1/2}$ ADM, Fyodorov, 1991, Tikhonov, ADM, 2019

Critical behavior

Numerical verification of $\nu_{del} = 1/2$?

Kravtsov, Altshuler, Ioffe, Ann Phys 2018 found $\nu_{del} \approx 1$. Contradiction? We want an accurate determination of W_c and ν_{del} Exact diagonalization for RRG: system sizes not sufficient for this purpose To approach much closer to the critical point, we use field theory and solve numerically the self-consistency equation

First step: accurate determination of W_c from the equation $m\lambda_{1/2}=1$



Critical behavior: Numerical confirmation of $\nu_{del} = 1/2$ Solve self-consistency equation by pool method (population dynamics) and thus determine N_{ξ}



 $m=2 ~~ \longrightarrow ~~ {
m asymptotics} ~~ {
m ln} \, N_{\xi} = 31.9 \, (W_c-W)^{-1/2}$

$$u_{
m del}=1/2$$

MBL with short-range interaction: Analogies to RRG

MBL with short-range interaction: XXZ spin chain in random field Luitz, Laflorencie, Alet, PRB (2015); Mace, Alet, Laflorencie, arxiv:1812.10283

Striking similarities to RRG

- strong drift of crossing point
- critical point similar to localized phase

• asymmetry of the critical behavior: $u_{\rm del} \simeq 0.45 \text{ and } \nu_{\rm loc} \simeq 0.76$

to be compared to

$$u_{
m del}=1/2 \,\, {
m and} \,\,
u_{
m loc}=1 \,\, ({
m RRG})$$

Numerically found exponents for MBL are close to those for RRG and strongly violate Harris criterion. Apparently, studied MBL systems are too small to exhibit asymptotic critical behavior. Intermediate, RRG-like fixed point – ?

MBL with long-range interaction and RRG

Random spin chain with $1/r^{\alpha}$ interaction, $d < \alpha < 2d$

Mapping to RRG $\longrightarrow W_c \sim L^{2d-\alpha} \ln L$

Agreement with exact diagonalization

$$d=1\,,\qquad lpha=3/2$$

- Scaling of transition point
- Delocalized side: Ergodicity
- Critical point \longrightarrow drift towards larger $W_* = W/L^{1/2} \ln L$

Summary

- Localization transition on RRG. Approaches: (i) exact diagonalization,
 (ii) analytics, (iii) analytics + population dynamics. Full agreement.
- Ergodicity of the delocalized phase $W < W_c$, achieved for $N \gg N_{\xi}(W)$ with $\ln N_{\xi} \propto (W_c - W)^{-1/2}$
- Critical regime (of nearly localized character) for $N \ll N_{\xi}(W)$ \longrightarrow peculiar crossover from criticality to ergodicity
- Detailed understanding of eigenfunction fluctuations and correlations, and level statistics.
- RRG as a very intricate $d = \infty$ limit of Anderson localization in d dimensions
- Index $\nu_{
 m del}=1/2$ confirmed numerically. Large corrections to scaling. Accurate evaluation of $W_c=18.17\pm0.01$ (for m=2) and of N_{ξ} up to 10^{19}
- RRG as a toy-model of MBL. Quantitative connections to long-range MBL. Strong qualitative analogies with short-range MBL.